ENG 102 ESSAY CRITERIA

Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Total Grade: \_\_\_\_\_ / 20

Section: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **6** | **5** | **4** | **3** | **2** | **1** | **0** |
| **Elaboration****\_\_\_\_ / 6** | * (All parts of) The prompt is/are fully addressed.
* Ideas are thoroughly developed using appropriate/relevant details, explanations and exemplifications.
* Citations are relevant, sufficient and chosen effectively to develop the essay.
* Citations and student’s own ideas are synthesized in a balanced way to develop the response.
 | * (All parts of) The prompt is/are addressed well with some room for improvement
* Ideas are generally well-developed using appropriate/relevant details, explanations and exemplifications.
* Minimal redundancy or digression
* Citations are mostly relevant, sufficient and chosen mostly effectively to develop the essay.
* Citations and student’s own ideas are synthesized in a mostly balanced manner, with minor issues.
 | ***Contains features from both 3 & 5*** | * One part of the prompt is not addressed (if the question is multi-part).

**OR*** Ideas are somewhat developed.
* Occasional redundancy or digression
* Citations are not relevant/not sufficient/not chosen effectively to develop the essay.
* Problems with the synthesis of citations and student’s own ideas; citations dominate and blur the response - the student’s voice is present, though less than adequate.
 | ***Contains features from both 1 & 3*** | * One part of the prompt is not addressed (if the question is multi-part).
* Limited development of ideas with minimal or no details, explanations, exemplifications and citations.
* Frequent redundancy and/or digression

**OR*** No synthesis of ideas from sources and student’s own ideas: Overreliance on citations and limited/no argument by the student; almost all content is taken from sources.
 | ***Does not meet requirements*** |
| **Language Use and Paraphrasing****\_\_\_\_ / 6** | * Contains few local grammatical/lexical errors, if any.
* Uses a variety of simple and complex structures.
* Displays academic sophistication.
* Paraphrases are completely constructed in student’s own words; no changes in meaning.
 | * Contains some grammatical/lexical errors.
* Uses a range of structures with an attempt to use complex ones.
* Demonstrates a jagged performance (combination of basic errors with accurate, advanced structures).
* Mostly displays academic sophistication.
* Paraphrases are mostly constructed in student’s own words; slight changes in meaning.
 | * Contains multiple grammatical/lexical errors including systematic ones in basic structures.
* Demonstrates little syntactic or lexical variety.
* May lack academic sophistication.
* Paraphrases are partially constructed in student’s own words; some changes in meaning.
 | * Contains numerous errors that interfere with meaning
* Vocabulary is limited.
* Sentences are constructed in a simple manner.
* Lacks academic sophistication.

**OR*** Limited sample of student’s own language due to heavy lifting.
* Paraphrases are poor; extensive copying from the source texts **OR** there aremajor changes in meaning.
 |
| **Organization****\_\_\_\_ / 5** |  | Fully follows academic conventions:* Introduction and conclusion effectively fulfill their purposes.
* Thesis statement is well-written and explains the topic effectively.
* Body paragraph division is logical, effective and paragraphs are proportionate.
* Organization of ideas is clear and logical; effective and appropriate use of cohesive devices.
* All the citations are effectively integrated.
 | Minor digression from academic conventions:* Introduction and conclusion mostly fulfill their purposes.
* Thesis statement exists with room for improvement.
* Body paragraph division is somewhat logical, effective and/or paragraphs are mostly proportionate.
* Ideas can mostly be followed; some misplaced sentences; mostly suitable use of cohesive devices.
* Some problems with integration of citations / citations distort the smooth flow of ideas at times.
 | Major digression from academic conventions:* Introduction and conclusion fail to fulfill their purposes.
* Thesis statement does not exist.

**OR*** Body paragraph division is ineffective and/or paragraphs are not proportionate.
* Ideas are hard to follow; little and/or ineffective use of cohesive devices.
* Citations are not integrated / distort smooth flow of ideas.
 |
| **APA****\_\_\_\_ / 3** |  | **3** | **2** | **1** |
| - Correct use of required citation conventions | - Mostly correct use of required citation conventions | * Serious problems in the use of required citation conventions
 |

**GROUND RULES:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Essay** **Ground Rules** | 1. If there are consistent/frequent problems in **mechanics** and/or **paper formatting**, deduct up to 2 points from the total grade.
2. If **task requirements** are not met (e.g.: the student hasn’t used 2 outside sources OR hasn’t used a combination of borrowing methods), deduct up to 4 points from the total grade.
3. If the essay is **below 400 words**, divide the grade by two.
 |
| **Final Exam****Ground Rules** | 1. If there are consistent/frequent problems in **mechanics** and/or **paper formatting**, deduct up to 2 points from the total grade.
2. If the student hasn’t used **both sources**, deduct 4 points from the total grade.
3. If the essay is **below 400 words**, divide the grade by two.
4. If the essay is **below 250 words**, give 1 out of 20.
 |

**Submission:**

* If the student submits only the rough draft, and not the final draft, s/he only gets points out of 5 for the rough draft; the grade for the final draft will be 0.
* If the student submits only the final draft, without the rough draft, the instructor grades the final draft out of 20 and divides the score by 2.
* If the student submits the final draft after the deadline, the instructor grades the essay using the rubric, and deducts 1 point for each late day.
* **Turnitin submission** is required from all students for this assignment (see [www.mld.metu.edu.tr f](http://www.mld.metu.edu.tr)/)or student submission assistance). If a student, for any reason, fails to upload his/her essay to Turnitin, it will not be graded, and s/he will receive 0 (zero) out of 20.

**Plagiarism cases:**

* If Turnitin detects plagiarism in the essay, the student will be penalized based on the plagiarism policy of Department of Modern Languages (see [www.mld.metu.edu.tr)](http://www.mld.metu.edu.tr/).
* Students who engage in any kind of unethical practices in any stage of this assignment will become subject to disciplinary procedures.