ENG 102 - GRADED WRITING 1 CRITERIA

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  Section: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | Total Grade: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ / 20  Converted Grade: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ / 10 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **6** | **5** | **4** | **3** | **2** | **1** | **0** |
| **Elaboration**  **\_\_\_\_ / 6** | * The prompt is fully addressed * Ideas are thoroughly developed using appropriate/relevant details, explanations and exemplifications * Citations are relevant, sufficient and chosen effectively to develop the response * Citations and student’s own ideas are synthesized in a balanced way to develop the response. | * The prompt is addressed with some room for improvement * Ideas are generally well-developed using appropriate/relevant details, explanations and exemplifications * Minimal redundancy or digression * Citations are mostly relevant, sufficient and chosen mostly effectively to develop the response * Citations and student’s own ideas are synthesized in a mostly balanced manner, with minor issues. | ***Contains features from both 3 & 5*** | * The prompt is addressed but not elaborated well * Ideas are somewhat developed * Occasional redundancy or digression * Citations are not relevant/not sufficient/not chosen effectively to develop the response   **OR**   * Problems with the synthesis of citations and student’s own ideas; citations dominate and blur the response - the student’s voice is present, though less than adequate. | ***Contains features from both 1 & 3*** | * The prompt is NOT addressed adequately. * Limited development with minimal or no details, explanations, exemplifications and citations * Frequent redundancy and/or digression   **OR**   * No synthesis of ideas from sources and student’s own ideas - Overreliance on citations and limited/no argument by the student; almost all content is taken from sources. | ***Does not meet requirements*** |
| **Language Use and Paraphrasing**  **\_\_\_\_ / 6** | * Contains few local grammatical/lexical errors, if any * Uses a variety of simple and complex structures * Displays academic sophistication * Paraphrases are completely constructed in student’s own words; no changes in meaning | * Contains some grammatical/lexical errors * Uses a range of structures with an attempt to use complex ones * Demonstrates a jagged performance (combination of basic errors with accurate, advanced structures) * Mostly displays academic sophistication * Paraphrases are mostly constructed in student’s own words; slight changes in meaning | * Contains multiple grammatical/lexical errors including systematic ones in basic structures * Demonstrates little syntactic or lexical variety * May lack academic sophistication * Paraphrases are partially constructed in student’s own words; some changes in meaning | * Contains numerous errors that interfere with meaning * Vocabulary is limited * Sentences are constructed in a simple manner * Lacks academic sophistication   **OR**   * Limited sample of student’s own language due to heavy lifting * Paraphrases are poor; extensive copying from the source texts **OR** major changes in meaning |
| **Organization**  **\_\_\_\_ / 5** |  | * Paragraph division is logical, effective with a clear main idea in each * Organization of ideas is clear and logical * Effective and appropriate use of cohesive devices * All the citations are effectively integrated | * Paragraph division is somewhat logical, effective and/or main ideas may not be clear * Ideas can mostly be followed; some misplaced sentences * Mostly suitable use of cohesive devices * Citations distort the smooth flow of ideas at times | * Paragraph division is ineffective and/or main ideas are not clear * Ideas are hard to follow * Little and/or ineffective use of cohesive devices * Citations are not integrated / distort smooth flow of ideas |
| **APA**  **\_\_\_\_ / 3** |  | **3** | | **2** | | **1** |
| - Correct use of required citation conventions | | - Mostly correct use of required citation conventions | | * Serious problems in the use of required citation conventions |
| **Ground Rules** | 1. If the student does not give any in-text and end-text reference, give 0 for the APA band. 2. If there are consistent/frequent problems in **mechanics** and/or **paper formatting** deduct up to 3 points out of 20. 3. If the student has failed to fulfill the task (e.g. has not used one source of his/her own; has used less than 2 citations or has not used a variety of borrowing methods), deduct up to 4 points out of the converted grade. 4. If the student has written less than half the minimum word requirement (250 words), divide the converted grade by 2. | | | | | | |

**Late submission:**

* Deduct 1 pt. for every late day.

**Plagiarism cases:**

* Students who engage in any kind of unethical practices in any stage of this assignment will become subject to disciplinary procedures.

**Turnitin** submission is required for all students for this assignment (see [www.mld.metu.edu.tr f](http://www.mld.metu.edu.tr)/)or student submission assistance). If a student, for any reason, fails to upload his/her assignment to Turnitin, it will not be graded, and s/he will receive zero. If Turnitin detects plagiarism in the assignment, the student will be penalized based on the plagiarism policy of Department of Modern Languages (see [www.mld.metu.edu.tr)](http://www.mld.metu.edu.tr/).