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## Part 3: Estimation of Parameters

## Estimation of Parameters

- Most of the time, we have random samples but not the densities given.
- If the parametric form of the densities are given or assumed, then, using the labeled samples, the parameters can be estimated. (supervised learning)


## Maximum Likelihood Estimation of Parameters

- Assume we have a sample set:

$$
D=\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}
$$

- as belonging to a given class. Drawn from $P\left(X \mid \omega_{j}\right)$
iid (independently drawn from identically distributed r.v.)
samples

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{j}=\left[t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{p}\right]^{T} \quad \text { (unknown parameter vector) } \\
& \theta_{j}=\left(\mu_{j}, \Sigma_{j}\right)^{T}=\left[\mu_{j 1}, \mu_{j 2}, \ldots, \Sigma_{j 11}, \ldots\right] \quad \text { for gaussian }
\end{aligned}
$$

The density function $\quad P\left(X \mid \omega_{j}\right) \quad$ - assumed to be of known form
So our problem: estimate $\theta_{j}$ using sample set:

$$
D_{j}=\left\{X_{j 1}, X_{j 2}, \ldots, X_{j n}\right\} \quad \text { iid }
$$

Now drop $j$ and assume a single density function.
$\hat{\theta}$ : estimate of $\theta$
Anything can be an estimate. What is a good estimate?

- Should converge to actual values
- Unbiased etc

Consider the mixture density $\quad L(\theta)=P(D \mid \theta)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(X_{i} \mid \theta\right)$
(due to statistical independence)
$L(\theta)$ is called "likelihood function"
$\hat{\theta}-\theta$ that maximizes $L(\theta)$
(Best agrees with drawn samples.)
if $\theta$ is a singular,
Then find $\quad \theta$ such that $\frac{d L}{d \theta}=0$ and for solving for $\theta$.
When $\theta$ is a vector, then $L=L\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{p}\right)$

$$
\nabla_{\theta} L=0
$$

$\nabla$ : gradient of L wrt $\left.\theta \quad \begin{array}{c}\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_{1}} \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial t_{2}} \\ . . \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial t_{p}}\end{array}\right]=0$

Therefore $\quad \hat{\theta}=\arg \max L(\theta)$
or $\quad \hat{\theta}=\arg \max \ln L(\theta)=\arg \max l(\theta) \quad$ (log-likelihood)
(Be careful not to find the minimum with derivatives)

## Example 1:

Consider an exponential distribution

$$
f(X ; \theta)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\theta e^{-\theta x} & x \geq 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right.
$$ otherwise

(single feature, single parameter) With a random sample $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(\theta)=f\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n} \mid \theta\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta \cdot e^{-\theta \cdot x_{i}} \quad x \geq 0 \\
& \text { valid for } \\
& l(\theta)=\ln L(\theta)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln \theta-\theta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=n \ln \theta-\theta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \\
& \frac{d l}{d \theta}=\frac{d \ln L(\theta)}{d \theta}=\frac{n}{\theta}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=0 \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}} \quad \text { (inverse of average) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example 2:

- Multivariate Gaussian with unknown mean vector M. Assume $\sum$ is known.
- $k$ samples from the same distribution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots, X_{k} \text { (iid) } \\
& L(X \mid M)=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2}|\Sigma|^{1 / 2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(X_{i}-M\right)^{T} \Sigma^{-1}\left(X_{i}-M\right)} \\
& \nabla l=\nabla_{M} \log L=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \nabla_{M} \log \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2}|\Sigma|^{1 / 2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(X_{i}-M\right)^{T} \Sigma^{-1}\left(X_{i}-M\right)} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k} \nabla_{M}\left(\frac{n}{2} \log (2 \pi)-\frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma|-\frac{1}{2}\left(X_{i}-M\right)^{T} \Sigma^{-1}\left(X_{i}-M\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\sum^{k}\left(\Sigma^{-1}\left(X_{i}-\hat{M}\right)\right) \quad \text { (linear algebra) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow 0 \\
&=\Sigma^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{i}-k \hat{M}\right) \\
& \hat{M}=\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{i} \quad \text { (sample average or sample mean) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Estimation of $\sum$ when it is unknown.
(Do it yourself: not so simple)

$$
\hat{\Sigma}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(X_{k}-\hat{M}\right)\left(X_{k}-\hat{M}\right)^{T} \quad \hat{\Sigma} \text { :sample covariance }
$$

where $M$ is the same as above.
Biased estimate : $E\left(\sigma^{2}\right) \neq \sigma^{2}$

$$
=\frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^{2}
$$

use $\frac{1}{n-1} \sum \ldots \ldots$. for an unbiased estimate.

## Example 3:

Binary variables with unknown parameters $p_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ ( $n$ parameters)

$$
\log P(X)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \log p_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i}\right) \log \left(1-p_{i}\right)
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l=\log L=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \log P\left(X_{j}\right) \text { k samples } \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i j} \log p_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i j}\right) \log \left(1-p_{i}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

here $x_{i j}$ is the $i^{\text {th }}$ element of $j^{\text {th }}$ sample $X_{j}$.

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{p_{i}} \log L=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}} \log L \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{2}} \log L \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{n}} \log L
\end{array}\right] \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}} \log L=\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\frac{x_{i j}}{p_{i}}-\left(\left(1-x_{i j}\right)\left(1-p_{i}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \Rightarrow 0=\frac{1}{\hat{p}_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{i j}-\frac{1}{1-\hat{p}_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(1-x_{i j}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow \hat{p}_{i}=\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

* $\quad \hat{p}_{i}$ is the sample average of the feature.
- Since $X_{i}$ is binary, $\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{i j}$ will be the same as counting the occurances of '1'.
- Consider character recognition problem with binary matrices.

- For each pixel, count the number of 1's and this is the estimate of $p_{i}$.


## Part 4: Features and Feature <br> Extraction

## Problems of Dimensionality and Feature Selection

- Are all features independent? Especially in binary features, we might have >100.
- The classification accuracy vs. size of feature set.
- Consider the Gaussian case with same $\sum$ for both categories.

$$
P(e)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{r / 2}^{\infty} e^{-u^{2} / 2} d u
$$

(assuming a priori probabilities are the same) (e:error)

- where $r^{2}$ is the square of mahalonobis distance between class means.

$$
r^{2}=\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)^{T} \Sigma^{-1}\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)
$$



- $P(e)$ decreases as $r$ increases (the distance between the means).

If $\Sigma=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}\sigma_{1}{ }^{2} & & & 0 \\ & \sigma_{2}{ }^{2} & & \\ 0 & & & \sigma_{d}{ }^{2}\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned} & \text { (all features statistically } \\ & \text { independent.) }\end{aligned}$
then

$$
r^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(\frac{m_{i 1}-m_{i 2}}{\sigma_{i}}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\left(m_{i 1}-m_{i 2}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}}
$$

We conclude from here that
1-Most useful features are the ones with large distance and small variance.
2-Each feature contributes to reduce the probability of error.


- When $r$ increases, probability of error decreases.
- Best features are the ones with distant means and small variances.
$>$ So add new features if the ones we already have are not adequate (more features, decreasing prob. of error.)
> But it was shown that adding new features after some point leads to worse performance.
$\checkmark$ Find statistically independent features
$\checkmark$ Find discriminating features
$\checkmark$ Computationally feasible features


## Principal Component Analysis (PCA) (Karhunen-Loeve Transform)

- Finds (reduces the set) to statistically independent features.



## Eliminating Redundant Features

$X=\left[x_{1}, \ldots \ldots . . ., x_{d}\right]^{T}$ is to be found using a larger set $Y=\left[y_{1}, \ldots \ldots \ldots, y_{m}\right]^{T}$


So we either
Throw one away
Generate a new feature using $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ (ex:projections of the points to a line)

- Form a linear combination of features.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}=f_{1}\left(y_{1}, \ldots \ldots, y_{m}\right) \\
x_{2}=f_{2}\left(y_{1}, \ldots \ldots, y_{m}\right) \\
x_{d}=f_{d}\left(y_{1}, \ldots \ldots, y_{m}\right)
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
& \text { Linear } \\
& \text { functions }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
X=W Y \quad \text { A linear transformation }
$$

W? Can be found by: K-L expansion, Principal Component Analysis
$W$ :above are satisfied (class discrimination and independent $x 1$, $\times 2, .$.$) .$
$X_{1}, \ldots \ldots \ldots . . ., X_{n}$ represented with a single vector $X_{0}$.
-Find a vector $X_{0}$ so that sum of the squared distances to $X_{0}$ is minimum(Zero degree representation).


Find $X_{0}$ that maximizes $J_{0}$.
Solution is given by the sample mean.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M=\frac{1}{n} \sum X_{k} \\
& J_{0}\left(X_{0}\right)=\sum\left\|\left(X_{0}-M\right)-\left(X_{k}-M\right)\right\|^{2} \\
= & \sum\left\|X_{0}-M\right\|^{2}-\sum 2\left(X_{0}-M\right)^{T}\left(X_{K}-M\right)+\sum\left\|X_{k}-M\right\|^{2} \\
= & \sum\left\|X_{0}-M\right\|^{2}-2\left(X_{0}-M\right)^{T} \overbrace{\sum^{2}\left(X_{K}-M\right)}+\sum\left\|X_{k}-M\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\sum\left\|X_{0}-M\right\|^{2}+\underbrace{\sum\left\|X_{k}-M\right\|^{2}}_{\text {Independent of } X_{0}}
$$

Where $\quad X_{0}=M$,
this expression is minimized.

Consider now 1-d representation from 2-d.
-The line should pass through the sample mean.

$$
X=M+a e_{\text {unit vector in the direction of line }}
$$



- Now how to find best e that minimizes $J_{1}=\sum\left\|\left(M+a_{k} e\right)-X_{k}\right\|^{2}$
- It turns out that given the scatter matrix

$$
S=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(X_{k}-M\right)\left(X_{k}-M\right)^{T}
$$

- e must be the eigenvector of the scatter matrix with the largest eigenvalue lambda $\lambda$.

$$
S e=\lambda e
$$

- That is, we project the data onto a line through the sample mean in the direction of the eigenvector of the scatter matrix with largest eigenvalue.
- Now consider d dimensional projection

$$
X=M+\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_{i} e_{i}
$$

- Here $e_{1}, \ldots ., e_{d}$ are $d$ eigenvectors of the scatter matrix having largest eigenvalues.

Coefficients $a_{i}$ are called principal components.

- So each $m$ dimensional feature vector is transferred to $d$ dimensional space since the components $a_{i}$ are given as

$$
a_{k i}=e_{i}^{T}\left(X_{k}-M\right)
$$

- Now represent our new feature vector's elements

So

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{1 i}=e_{1}^{T}\left(X_{k}-M\right) \\
a_{2 i}=e_{2}^{T}\left(X_{k}-M\right) \\
: \\
a_{d^{\prime} i}=e_{d}^{T}\left(X_{k}-M\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## FISHER'S LINEAR DISCRIMINANT

- Curse of dimensionality. More features, more samples needed.
- We would like to choose features with more discriminating ability.
- Reduces the dimension of the problem to one in simplest form.
- Seperates samples from different categories.
- Consider samples from 2 different categories now.

-Find a line so that the projection separates the samples best.
Same as:
Apply a transformation to samples $X$ to result with a scalar such that $y=W^{T} X$
Fisher's criterion function

$$
J(W)=\frac{\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}} \quad \text { is maximized, where }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{i} & =\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{y \in C_{i}} y \\
\sigma_{i}^{2} & =\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{y \in C_{i}}\left(y-\mu_{i}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- This reduces the problem to 1 d , by keeping the classes most distant from each other.
- But if we write $\mu_{i}$ and $\sigma_{i}^{2}$ in terms of $M_{i}$ and $\Sigma_{i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{i} & =\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{X \in C_{i}} X \\
\mu_{i} & =\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum \underbrace{W^{T} X}_{y}=W^{T} M \\
\sigma_{i}^{2} & =\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{X \in C_{i}}\left(W^{T} X-W^{T} M_{i}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{X \in C_{i}}\left(W^{T}\left(X-M_{i}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum W^{T}\left(X-M_{i}\right)\left(X-M_{i}\right)^{T} W=W^{T}\left(\frac{1}{n_{i}}\left(\sum\left(X-M_{i}\right)\left(X-M_{i}\right)^{T}\right) W\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=W^{T} S_{i} W
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\underbrace{\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)^{2}=\left(W^{T} M_{1}-W^{T} M_{2}\right)^{2}=\left[W^{T}\left(M_{1}-M_{2}\right)\right]^{2}}_{S_{B}} \\
& \left.=M_{2}\right)\left(M_{1}-M_{2}\right)^{T}
\end{aligned}=W^{T} S_{B} W,
$$

$$
\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}=W^{T} \underbrace{\left(S_{1}+S_{2}\right)}_{S_{W}} \text { ) } W=W^{T} S_{W} W
$$

$S_{B}$ - within class scatter matrix
$S_{W}$ - between class scatter matrix

- Then , maximize

$$
J(W)=\frac{W^{T} S_{B} W}{W^{T} S_{W} W} \longleftarrow \underbrace{}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Rayleigh } \\
\text { quotient }
\end{array}}
$$

- It can be shown that $W$ that maximizes $J$ can be found by solving the eigenvalue problem again:

$$
S_{W}^{-1} S_{B} W=\lambda W
$$

and the solution is given by

$$
W=S_{W}^{-1}\left(M_{1}-M_{2}\right)
$$

- Optimal if the densities are gaussians with equal covariance matrices. That means reducing the dimension does not cause any loss.

Multiple Discriminant Analysis: c category problem.
A generalization of 2-category problem.

## Non-Parametric Techniques

- Density Estimation
- Use samples directly for classification
- Nearest Neighbor Rule
- 1-NN
- k-NN
- Linear Discriminant Functions: $g i(X)$ is linear.

